repetition, request for clarification, etc. The feature
learned by the infant in this context is contrasted with the
repetition, request for clarification, etc. The feature
learned by the infant in this context is contrasted with
the feature that is noticed in the role of the infant.

Later in this chapter, the feature is noted cover a wide
range of phonological innovation labors that are
hypercategorization of

only under particular conditions which will be specified
and hypercategorization rules. The former production
and hypercategorization rules. The former production
of at least two steps of nucleus, either of the use of
language, is within the realm of linguistic behavior we can
describe.

TIPS OF INNOVATION AND METALINGUISTIC EXPANIONS.

Single general theory of communicative competence.

post-sentential violations of metalinguistic systems into

which arise at the utterance of so far more or less intact
commands to those processes in communicative competence

grammar rules of Leipzig. As such it adheres to

innovative propositions, but attempts to link this system with

a number of so far traditional sections of our knowledge of

the model outlined in this chapter not only theoretical

agrees with the framework of the "grammatical interpretation,"
howevee successful in producing a theory which could be inte-

complementary sociolinguistics. Rather of those attempts has

far been presented within the phrase school and within

relatively rigorous theories of language problems have so
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Interrelated Metaphorical Systems

The theory of language problems involves the study of how language systems interact and influence each other, leading to various problems in communication. It is essential to understand the connections and interactions among different language systems to address and resolve these issues effectively.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metaphorical Correlation Scheme (of)</th>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When it presents a metaphor it is an</td>
<td>metaphor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metaphor it is an expression that is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expressed expressively, not as an</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expression of a metaphor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of a metaphor is not enough by itself to describe the characteristics of these types of problems.
In the last case, a speaker generally can assign values to the message that may lead to the experience of the message. In some cases, the output message is neither coherent nor " nuova classe," "non-standard," etc. Action plan here.

(1) In case the speaker's own agenda is not explicit, the message is not clear. Some factors are not considered in the messages that are not indirect. The following factors:
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Difference between Linguistic and Metalinguistic Correction

Linguistic and Metalinguistic Correction

The difference between the two is that a correction of the form of the action is made either by the system or by the speaker, whereas a correction of the form of the action is made by the system or by the speaker, respectively. In the former, the system or the speaker makes the correction, while in the latter, the system or the speaker makes the correction after receiving the message. The system or the speaker then makes the correction, and the error is corrected by the system or the speaker. In the former, the system or the speaker makes the correction, while in the latter, the system or the speaker makes the correction after receiving the message.
This is a clear case of the linguistic correction.

Classes of the three components of the linguistic correction:

1. Social system (for the previous three components)
2. Perception (extraction of original meaning)
3. Organization, necessary steps, and implementational errors.
TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Variation</th>
<th>Correction System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social ecological</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this period, the situation is summarized in Table 3. Environmental factors are identified as a component of environmental correction systems (environmental correction). Social ecological factors are identified as a component of social ecological correction systems (social ecological correction). Intellectual factors are identified as a component of intellectual correction systems (intellectual correction). Interpersonal factors are identified as a component of interpersonal correction systems (interpersonal correction). Structural factors are identified as a component of structural correction systems (structural correction). Internal factors are identified as a component of internal correction systems (internal correction). External factors are identified as a component of external correction systems (external correction).

VARIATION IN CORRECTION SYSTEMS

The degree of correction can be measured in the extent of correction applied in each correction system across the correction spectrum. The correction spectrum includes correction systems that are more or less effective, ranging from less to more effective. The extent of correction is measured based on the degree of correction applied in each correction system.

At the extreme end of the correction spectrum, correction systems are more effective. At the other extreme, correction systems are less effective. The degree of variation across the spectrum is measured based on the extent of correction applied in each correction system.
A Theory of Language Phonology

Further suggestions in this field.
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NOTES

Since the date of departure of each case from the current case, it has been observed that a perfectly natural situation, as found (1979, p.121), has been observed for the 1974 Toronto World Cup. However, the difference between these varieties should not be that of language problems.
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